

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vagini 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,815	02/22/2002	Michael Sworin	2791.1003-007	1636
21005 7	7590 06/26/2003			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			EXAMINER	
			LY, CHEYNE D	
	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	1.0
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	(0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A					
	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/082,815	SWORIN ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of the	Cheyne D Ly	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.3 after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above le less than thinly (00) days, a reply and the second of the period of the reply specified above less than thinly (00) days, a reply and the second of the period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and provided the following latest than the menths after the mailing amend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(e). Status	(6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	hely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	ex parte Quayre, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-115</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 57-115 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:		•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. \interpretation opies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 Notice of Informal F 	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) eatent Application (PTO-152)				
C Delevi as I Taylor I Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/082,815

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 57-83, drawn to a method of identifying a compound, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized 3 species sets (A-C), election of a species from each set is required.
 - II. Claims 84-99, drawn to a compound for inhibiting between a target protein and a macromolecular ligand, classified in class 514, subclass 1. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized 2 species sets (A and B), election of a species from each set is required.
 - III. Claims 100-115, drawn to a method of inhibiting between a target protein and a macromolecular ligand in a subject in need of such inhibition, classified in classes 435 and 514, subclasses 7.1 and 2. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized 2 species sets (A and B), election of a species from each set is required.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR ALL GROUPS:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of he claimed invention:

FOR ALL GROUPS

Species A:

Application/Control Number: 10/082,815

Art Unit: 1631

3. The groups above are directed to species of *in vivo* or *in vitro*, which are generally separately analyzed and published, and thus document the undue search burden if searched together. Thus, applicants are required to select a species: *in vivo* or *in vitro*.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 57-65, 70, 84-86, 91, 92,100-102, and 107-108 are generic to the above species in all Groups.

Species B:

- 5. Species of targeting group are cited in claims 70, 92, 97, and 108, which are generally separately analyzed and published, and thus document the undue search burden if searched together. Thus, applicants are required to select an unspecified type of targeting group or a type of targeting group from those listed in claims 70, 92, 97, and 108.
- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 57-115 are generic to the above species in all Groups.

FOR GROUP I

Species C:

7. Species of methods for computational modeling are cited in claim 62, which are generally separately analyzed and published, and thus document the undue search burden if searched together. Thus, applicants are required to select an unspecified type of computational modeling method or a type of computational modeling method from those listed in claim 62.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,815

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 57-83 are generic to the above species.

- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of a specie from list of specie sets cited above that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. Inventions in Groups I-III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

Art Unit: 1631

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant application, the molecule of Group II may be utilized in the distinct usages as needed in Group I, a method of identifying a compound. As needed in Group II, a method for inhibiting between a target protein and a macromolecular ligand in a subject in need of such inhibition or alternatively, as a therapeutic. All of these usages are distinct as requiring distinct and different functions and results thereof without overlapping search due to different subject matter. This lack of overlapping searches documents the undue search burden if they were search together.

- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/082,815

Art Unit: 1631

in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 193), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028.
- 18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

C. Dune Ly 6/23/03

Andin D. Marshy Andin H. Marschel Polisary Eventillet